

The effects and physiological mechanisms of free-living interval-walking training on glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes patients: a randomized, controlled trial

Karstoft, Kristian; Winding, Kamilla; Knudsen, Sine H.; Nielsen, Jens Steen; Pedersen, Bente Klarlund; Solomon, Thomas P. J.

Publication date: 2013

Document version: Submitted manuscript

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Karstoft, K., Winding, K., Knudsen, S. H., Nielsen, J. S., Pedersen, B. K., & Solomon, T. P. J. (2013). The effects and physiological mechanisms of free-living interval-walking training on glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes patients: a randomized, controlled trial.

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark. Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving. If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- · You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim. Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

Download date: 26 okt 2021

The effects and physiological mechanisms of free-living interval-walking training on glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes patients: a randomised, controlled trial

K. Karstoft¹, K. Winding¹, S.H. Knudsen¹, B.K. Pedersen¹, J.S. Nielsen², T.P. Solomon¹; ¹Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Rigshospitalet, ²Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes Research Centre, Odense University Hospital, Denmark.

Background and aims: In type 2 diabetes patients, free-living walking training is feasible but shows limited effect upon glycaemic control variables. On the other hand, interval training methods have shown huge improvements in glycaemic control but suffer from lower adherence rates. In this study, we first evaluated the feasibility of free-living walking training in type 2 diabetes patients; secondly, we investigated the effects of interval-walking versus continuous-walking training upon glycaemic control; and thirdly, we assessed the underlying physiological mechanisms of changes in glycaemic control.

Materials and methods: Subjects with type 2 diabetes $(58.7 \pm 1.4 \text{ years}, 29.5 \pm 0.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ were randomized to a control group (n=8), a continuous-walking training group (n=12), or an intervalwalking training group (n=12). Training groups were instructed to train 5 sessions per week, 60 minutes per session and were controlled with an accelerometer and a heart rate monitor. Before and after the 4 month intervention, maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max) was assessed, glycaemic control was measured using continuous glucose monitoring (CGM), and insulin secretion/sensitivity was measured using a hyperglycaemic clamp (5.4 mmol/l above fasting glucose concentration). **Results:** Training groups demonstrated high and equal training adherence ($89 \pm 4\%$), and training energy-expenditure and mean training intensity were comparable. VO2max was unchanged in the control group and continuous-walking group, but increased in the interval-walking group ($16 \pm 4 \%$, P<0.05). Glycaemic control (mean CGM glucose levels) worsened in the control group (delta mean CGM glucose = 1.2 ± 0.4 mmol/l, P<0.05), whereas mean and maximum CGM glucose levels decreased in the interval-walking training group (delta mean CGM glucose = -0.8 ± 0.3 mmol/l, P=0.05, delta maximum CGM glucose = -2.8 ± 0.8 mmol/l, P<0.05). The continuous-walking training group showed no changes in glycaemic control. In the interval walking training group, the insulin sensitivity (57 \pm 17 %, P<0.05) increased, whereas the insulin secretion did not change (3 \pm 6 %, P>0.05). The disposition index increased comparable to the insulin sensitivity (60 ± 16 %, P<0.05). In the continuous-walking and control group, no changes were seen in any of these parameters. Conclusion: Free-living walking training is feasible in type 2 diabetes patients and interval-walking training is superior to energy-expenditure matched continuous-walking training upon improving glycaemic control. Furthermore, interval-walking induced improvements in glycaemic control seem to be dependent on improvements in insulin sensitivity and increased disposition.

Clinical Trial Registration Number: NCT01234155

Supported by: DD2, Danish Agency for Science, Trygfonden, EFSD/Amylin grant